

- 23/11/16 Email from Chair to CCC members with the Meeting Notice & Agenda for this meeting
- 24/11/16 – Email from Anthony Berecny with an apology for this meeting.

Correspondence accepted:

Moved: Lisa Andrews

Seconded:

Ray Dawes

6. Project Update

GRL

RC provided update as follows:

- No change from last meeting, i.e. still awaiting renewal of the approval for three exploration licences. It is hoped to have the applications sorted with the Department by the end of 2016.
- Nothing further to report from land management point of view.
- Exhibition of the EIS for the Rocky Hill Project closed on 14 October, 2016. All submissions were received three weeks post exhibition closing. The Company is currently going through the submissions and preparing its response. The Company's expectation is that it would be late January early February 2017 before the response is completed for review by all parties.

Relevant government departments then look at the nature of the submissions and determine if these have been adequately addressed by GRL in its response. If these departments feel that further information is required they will request additional information through the Department of Planning & Environment.

RC advised that the full process is able to be followed on the DPE Major Project's website where all submissions are visible along with the Department's response to GRL.

- Discussion took place on submissions received and RC advised how the Company will be addressing all issues and responding accordingly to all parties irrespective of whether that issue was raised by a government department, i.e. response will be provided to issues raised by community members.

JM described the process, whereby companies submit their response to DPE then DPE review the responses. If DPE determines that something has been overlooked it is able to refer back to the Company at any time. Once DPE has completed its review, a report and recommendation is prepared for submission to the Planning Assessment Commission (PAC). At this point there is opportunity for individuals to make further submissions to the PAC. The Commission generally holds an open meeting prior to completing its assessment and members of the community are welcome to speak to the Panel as well as make written submissions.

- Discussion took place on testing regime undertaken on milk and the lack of data available in relation to the effect pollutants from the earth has on the milk's quality. The Department of Primary Industries has a long term study in the Hunter Valley involving cattle where cattle have been monitored in a mining environment and continue to be monitored in a post mining environment. This study also involves running cattle on rehabilitated mining land. This report will be sourced and
-

forwarded to CCC members.

In summary BC stated this CCC is GRL exploration project and includes the Rocky Hill Project and if there are concerns of limits set by government departments, this probably isn't the forum for discussion. GRL is a business and will ensure the RHP complies with limits set by government, however, GRL won't be answering issues in this regard as it does not control how these limits are set. GRL can however answer how the RHP will adhere to these limits. Limits set by government departments are what GRL use to develop the RHP.

ACTION: LA

Distribute link to participants to access findings of DPI study

- Discussion on project approval timing was held. The only phase GRL can control is its response to submissions, otherwise all timing is controlled within government. GRL is hopeful the project will be submitted to the PAC by mid 2017.
- Issues raised in regard to potential dangerous fire season. Discussion took place on ensuring leased properties have suitable tracks & protection zones around the properties in case of fire.

ACTION: RC

Arrange an audit of the properties owned by GRL

7. General Business

7.1 Council rates on local properties

JH raised this issue where Gloucester council has introduced a special rate on land owned by mining companies. This rate has had obvious effect on VG property valuations.

GRL advised it is aware of this rate and reference has been made to this rate in the EIS. The Company accepts it will be liable for the rate being applied to land within the mining lease, however, will dispute its imposition on GRL's farm lands.

7.2 Weed Control of company owned properties

Question was posed as to whether GRL will give leaseholders assistance in regard to eradication of Giant Parramatta Grass. Requested privet also be considered.

It was suggested Landcare could provide assistance to GRL.

ACTION: RC

Look into this issue and develop a strategy for possible eradication if required

7.3 Company owned land

A question was asked whether there was any land available for lease.

GRL advised all land is currently under lease.

7.4 2017 Meeting Schedule

The Chair consulted with CCC members and the following meeting dates were agreed upon:

- 3 February
- 7 April
- 2 June
- 4 August
- 6 October and
- 1 December

There being no further business the meeting closed at 11:42am with the chair thanking CCC members for their contribution throughout 2016 and wishing them a happy and safe festive season. NEXT MEETING: 3 February 2017.

ACTION ITEMS

1	Distribute link to participants (DPI study on cattle)	LA
2	Arrange an audit of the properties owned by GRL (fire management)	RC
3	Look into weed control and develop a strategy for possible eradication if required	RC